Deutsche Sauna-Akademie®	**Deutsche Sauna-Akademie**
Titel	Why Can 40°C Water Heat the Body More Than 90°C Air?
Subtitel	Heat transfer in water and air: heat capacity, thermal conductivity, convection and the physics of different bathing methods
Author	Dr. Karsten Gröning
Published by	International Sauna Academy | Sauna Library

Short answer: Because temperature alone does not determine heat transfer. Water is far denser than air, has a much greater volumetric heat capacity and transfers heat at the skin far more effectively. A person immersed in 40 °C water is surrounded over a large body area by a medium that can deliver heat continuously while also suppressing evaporative cooling from the immersed skin. In a 90 °C sauna, the temperature difference between air and skin is much larger, but dry air contains relatively little thermal energy per unit volume and has low thermal conductivity. Sweat evaporation can also remove substantial heat. Consequently, hot-water immersion around 40 °C can raise core temperature rapidly and, depending on duration and immersion depth, impose a greater whole-body heat load than much hotter dry air. The comparison demonstrates a central principle of thermal physiology: temperature is not heat, and temperature alone is not a measure of thermal dose.

At first sight, the comparison seems absurd. Ninety degrees Celsius is more than twice forty degrees Celsius on the Celsius scale. How could 40 °C bath water possibly heat a person as strongly—or more strongly—than 90 °C sauna air?

The apparent paradox disappears as soon as temperature is separated from heat transfer. Temperature describes the thermal state of a material. It does not tell us how much thermal energy a given volume contains, how rapidly that energy can move to the skin, how much body surface is exposed or how effectively the body can lose heat at the same time.

> **90 °C air is hotter than 40 °C water. That does not mean it transfers more heat to the body.**

Temperature is not heat

Temperature and thermal energy are related but different quantities. Two materials at the same temperature can contain very different amounts of thermal energy per unit volume because their density and specific heat capacity differ.

For heat transfer to the human body, another question is equally important: how readily can energy move from the surrounding medium through the boundary layer at the skin? That depends on thermal conductivity, convection, contact area and movement of the fluid.

This is why the temperature displayed on a sauna thermometer cannot be used as a universal scale for comparing sauna, steam bath, hot-water immersion or infrared exposure.

The enormous difference in density

At ordinary atmospheric conditions, one cubic metre of air has a mass of roughly 1 kg. One cubic metre of water has a mass close to 1,000 kg. The exact values depend on temperature and pressure, but the order-of-magnitude difference is the important point.

The water surrounding an immersed person therefore represents vastly more material in direct contact with the body than an equal volume of air.

Specific heat capacity

Specific heat capacity describes how much energy is required to change the temperature of one kilogram of a substance by one degree. Liquid water has a specific heat capacity of approximately 4.18 $kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$ around body-relevant temperatures. Air is approximately 1.0 $kJ \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$.

Per kilogram, water therefore stores roughly four times as much sensible heat for each degree of temperature difference. But because water is also hundreds of times denser than hot air, the contrast becomes much larger when equal volumes are compared.

Volumetric heat capacity makes the difference intuitive

Volumetric heat capacity is density multiplied by specific heat capacity. It tells us approximately how much energy is required to change the temperature of one cubic metre of a material by one degree.

For liquid water, the value is about 4,180 $kJ \cdot m^{-3} \cdot K^{-1}$. For hot air in a sauna, it is on the order of only 1 $kJ \cdot m^{-3} \cdot K^{-1}$. The precise ratio changes with air temperature and pressure, but water's volumetric heat capacity is thousands of times greater.

> **A cubic metre of hot water can store thousands of times more sensible heat per degree than a cubic metre of hot air.**

Thermal conductivity also differs

Water also conducts heat much more readily than air. Around body-relevant temperatures, the thermal conductivity of liquid water is roughly 0.6 $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$, whereas that of air is only around 0.03 $W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$.

These material properties do not by themselves determine the heat flow to a bathing person, because convection and boundary layers matter. But they help explain why water is such an effective thermal medium.

Convection: the heat-transfer coefficient

For practical heat exchange at the body surface, the convective heat-transfer coefficient is especially useful. Convective heat transfer can be represented conceptually as:

$\dot{Q} = h \cdot A \cdot (T_{environment} - T_{skin})$

Here $\dot{Q}$ is heat-transfer rate, h is the heat-transfer coefficient, A is exposed area and the temperature term is the difference between environment and skin.

Air at 90 °C has a very large temperature difference from skin, but its heat-transfer coefficient is relatively low. Water at 40 °C has only a small temperature difference from skin, yet its heat-transfer coefficient can be many times larger. The smaller temperature gradient can therefore be compensated—or more than compensated—by much more efficient heat transfer.

Why 40 °C water can still transfer heat inward

Mean skin temperature in thermoneutral conditions is well below 40 °C. When much of the body is immersed in 40 °C water, the water is warmer than most of the skin surface. Heat therefore flows from the water toward the skin.

As skin temperature rises toward water temperature, the local temperature gradient becomes smaller, but the surrounding bath continuously mixes and replaces cooled water at the skin with warmer water. Natural and forced convection sustain heat transfer.

Immersion exposes a large surface area

Whole-body or chest-deep immersion places a very large fraction of the skin in direct contact with the thermal medium. Heat transfer is therefore distributed over much of the body.

The head may remain outside the water, but the trunk and limbs—which represent a large surface area—are surrounded continuously by warm water. In a sauna, the whole body is exposed to hot air and radiation, but evaporative cooling remains available over the skin.

The decisive difference: evaporation

Human beings rely strongly on evaporation of sweat during heat exposure. Evaporating one gram of water from the skin removes roughly 2.4 kJ of heat at body-relevant temperatures.

In a dry sauna, this evaporative pathway is highly important. Even though the air is much hotter than the skin, evaporation can remove substantial heat and partially oppose convective and radiant heat gain.

Immersed skin cannot use sweat evaporation in the same way. Sweat released under water does not evaporate into the surrounding air. One of the body's most powerful heat-loss mechanisms is therefore unavailable over the immersed surface.

> **Hot water heats efficiently and simultaneously removes the skin's main evaporative escape route.**

What happens in a 90 °C sauna?

In a traditional dry sauna, hot air transfers heat toward the skin by convection, and hot cabin surfaces transfer heat by radiation. Skin temperature rises rapidly and cutaneous blood flow and sweating increase.

At the same time, evaporation of sweat removes heat. Classic Finnish sauna physiology describes evaporative heat loss as the body's principal effective avenue of heat dissipation in the hot cabin [1]. The net result is still heat storage: core temperature generally rises, but the rate depends strongly on climate, duration, humidity, radiation and the individual.

The high air temperature is therefore only one side of the heat-balance equation.

What happens in a 40 °C bath?

During hot-water immersion, heat is transferred efficiently from water to the immersed skin. Evaporation is largely unavailable below the water line, and hydrostatic pressure additionally alters cardiovascular physiology.

Controlled hot-water immersion is consequently a powerful method of passive heating. Research protocols commonly use water around 39–42 °C specifically because these temperatures can elevate core temperature and produce substantial cardiovascular and thermoregulatory responses [2–5].

A useful experimental comparison

In a crossover study comparing 30 minutes of Finnish sauna with 30 minutes of hot-water immersion in healthy adults, hot-water immersion produced a larger rise in core temperature than sauna. Both modalities produced physiological heat stress, but their responses differed because the heat-transfer mechanisms differed [6].

This kind of comparison is more informative than comparing the environmental numbers 40 and 90. The relevant outcome is the heat actually transferred to and stored by the body.

Why a hot bath can become difficult surprisingly quickly

As core and skin temperatures rise during immersion, the body attempts to increase heat dissipation through skin blood flow and sweating. But most of the skin is surrounded by water warmer than skin and cannot evaporate sweat.

The body can lose some heat through the head and other non-immersed regions, through respiration and to cooler surroundings, but these pathways may not balance the heat entering through the immersed surface. Core temperature therefore rises.

Why you can stay longer in 90 °C air than in 90 °C water

This thought experiment exposes the physics dramatically. Humans can tolerate dry sauna air near 90 °C for limited periods because air transfers heat relatively inefficiently and evaporation provides cooling.

Immersion in 90 °C water would cause severe thermal injury extremely rapidly. Water's efficient heat transfer would deliver enormous heat flux to the skin. The temperature number is the same, but the physical medium completely changes the biological consequence.

This is also why touching hot metal is different from touching hot air at the same temperature: material properties and heat-transfer coefficients matter.

What about steam baths?

Steam baths occupy another position in this comparison. Their air temperature is much lower than a Finnish sauna—often around 40–50 °C—but the air contains much more water vapour.

High water-vapour pressure strongly restricts sweat evaporation. Under suitable conditions, water vapour can also condense on cooler skin and release latent heat. Thus a steam bath can impose a substantial thermal load at an air temperature that would seem modest when compared with dry sauna.

Again, temperature alone fails as a comparison metric.

What about infrared cabins?

Infrared cabins are often operated at lower air temperatures than Finnish saunas. Part of the thermal transfer occurs through infrared radiation from heated emitters and surfaces rather than requiring the air itself to become extremely hot.

This does not mean that infrared 'heats the body from the inside'. Infrared radiation is absorbed in superficial tissues according to wavelength-dependent optical properties and the absorbed energy is subsequently redistributed by conduction and blood flow.

Different bathing methods therefore reach the same broad physiological objective—raising thermal load—through different combinations of heat-transfer pathways.

Four bathing environments, four physical strategies

A dry Finnish sauna uses very hot air plus thermal radiation while retaining considerable evaporative capacity. A steam bath uses much cooler air but high water-vapour pressure, restricting evaporation and potentially adding latent heat transfer. Hot-water immersion uses direct, highly efficient contact over a large surface area and prevents evaporation from immersed skin. Infrared cabins use a greater proportion of radiative heat transfer at lower air temperatures.

No single environmental temperature can rank these methods by physiological intensity.

Why this matters for 'thermal dose'

Thermal dose is the accumulated physiological consequence of heat transfer over time. It depends on heat flux into and out of the body, exposed area, duration and the person's thermoregulatory response.

A short high-temperature sauna may produce less accumulated heat storage than a longer hot bath. A humid Aufguss can sharply alter the balance without raising air temperature. A lower-temperature infrared exposure can still raise core temperature if the exposure is long enough.

> **The body responds to watts and joules transferred over time—not to the prestige of a high temperature number.**

Can we calculate which bath is 'hotter' for the body?

In principle, whole-body heat balance can be modelled from metabolic heat production, radiation, convection, conduction, evaporation and respiratory heat exchange. In practice, accurate comparison requires measurements of skin and core temperature, surface temperatures, humidity, air or water movement, exposed area and duration.

This is why simplistic conversions such as '40 °C water equals 90 °C air' should be avoided. There is no fixed equivalence. Under particular conditions, 40 °C immersion can produce greater heat storage than a particular 90 °C sauna exposure; change the duration, humidity, radiation or immersion depth and the comparison changes.

The title is therefore deliberately a question

The statement is not that 40 °C water is universally 'hotter' than 90 °C air. Ninety-degree air unquestionably has the higher temperature. The scientific point is that 40 °C water can transfer enough heat to the body to produce a greater physiological heat load under realistic bathing conditions.

This distinction between temperature and heat transfer is one of the most important concepts for understanding sauna science.

Practical implications for sauna professionals

Professionals should avoid comparing bathing methods by temperature alone. A 45 °C steam bath is not necessarily 'mild' because its number is low. A 60 °C infrared cabin is not physiologically inactive. A 40 °C bath is not merely warm water. And a 100 °C dry sauna is not automatically the strongest possible thermal exposure.

The relevant questions are: How is heat transferred? How much body surface is exposed? How effectively can the body dissipate heat? How long does exposure last? And how much recovery follows?

So why can 40 °C water heat the body more than 90 °C air?

Because water is a much more effective heat-transfer medium. It has far greater volumetric heat capacity and thermal conductivity than air, produces much higher convective heat-transfer coefficients at the skin and surrounds a large fraction of the body during immersion. At the same time, immersed skin cannot cool itself by evaporation.

Dry sauna air is much hotter, but air stores little thermal energy per unit volume and transfers heat less efficiently. Evaporation of sweat provides an important opposing heat-loss mechanism.

> **Temperature tells us how hot the medium is. Heat transfer tells us what the medium does to the body.**

Conclusion

The comparison between 40 °C water and 90 °C air demonstrates why sauna science must go beyond thermometer readings. Water and air have radically different physical properties, and the human body's ability to lose heat also changes between environments.

Hot-water immersion can therefore raise core temperature rapidly despite a temperature only slightly above body temperature. Finnish sauna achieves its thermal effect through a much hotter but less conductive medium, combined with radiant heat and simultaneous evaporative cooling.

Understanding these mechanisms makes comparisons among sauna, steam bath, hot-water immersion and infrared cabins scientifically meaningful. The correct unit of comparison is not environmental temperature alone, but the resulting heat transfer and thermal dose.

References

1. Leppäluoto J. Human thermoregulation in sauna. Annals of Clinical Research. 1988;20(4):240–243. PMID: 3218894.

2. Brunt VE, Howard MJ, Francisco MA, Ely BR, Minson CT. Passive heat therapy improves endothelial function, arterial stiffness and blood pressure in sedentary humans. Journal of Physiology. 2016;594(18):5329–5342. doi:10.1113/JP272453. PMID: 27270841.

3. Francisco MA, Colbert C, Larson EA, Sieck DC, Halliwill JR, Minson CT. Heat therapy: possible benefits for cognitive function and the aging brain. Journal of Applied Physiology. 2021;130(6):1736–1748.

4. Pizzey FK, Hunt C, Johnson BS, et al. Passive heat therapy: mechanisms and physiological responses. Experimental Physiology. 2021;106(6):1317–1334. PMID: 33866630.

5. Akerman AP, Tipton M, Minson CT, Cotter JD. Heat stress and dehydration in adapting for performance: good, bad, both, or neither? Temperature. 2016;3(3):412–436.

6. Comparative physiological responses to Finnish sauna and hot-water immersion in healthy adults. Study cited for modality comparison; bibliographic details should be verified against the final publication record before publication.

7. Hannuksela ML, Ellahham S. Benefits and risks of sauna bathing. American Journal of Medicine. 2001;110(2):118–126. doi:10.1016/S0002-9343(00)00671-9.

8. Gröning K. Health-Orientated Sauna Bathing. International Sauna Academy, 2026. Sections on heat transfer, bathing methods, sauna climate and thermophysiology.

Editorial note: The comparison in the title is conditional, not an equivalence statement. Heat transfer depends on exposure duration, body surface area, fluid movement, radiation, humidity and individual physiology. Environmental temperature alone cannot quantify whole-body thermal dose.