Deutsche Sauna-Akademie®	**Deutsche Sauna-Akademie**
Titel	Are Very Hot Saunas Better?
Subtitel	Why temperature alone is not a measure of sauna quality, effectiveness or health benefit
Author	Dr. Karsten Gröning
Published by	International Sauna Academy | Sauna Library

Short answer: No. A higher air temperature creates a stronger potential heat load, but it does not automatically create a better sauna or a greater health effect. The thermal dose received by the bather depends on much more than the thermometer: radiant heat from surrounding surfaces, humidity and water-vapour pressure, air movement, duration, body position, clothing or towels, Aufguss, cooling and individual heat tolerance all matter. Most research on traditional Finnish sauna has been conducted within approximately 80–100 °C, often in relatively dry conditions and for limited periods. Evidence that sauna can produce physiological or health effects within this range does not demonstrate that still higher temperatures produce greater benefits. In health-oriented sauna bathing, the goal is an appropriate, recoverable thermal stimulus—not the highest possible number on the thermometer.

Sauna temperatures are easy to compare. One cabin is 80 °C, another 90 °C, another 100 °C or more. That makes temperature tempting as a quality scale: if 90 °C is effective, 100 °C must be more effective, and 110 °C must be better still.

Thermophysiology does not work that way. Air temperature is an important environmental variable, but the human body responds to net heat exchange and accumulated thermal strain. A thermometer mounted on the wall measures one part of the environment. It does not measure the thermal dose received by a person.

> **A sauna thermometer measures air temperature. It does not measure the bather's total thermal dose.**

What is a typical Finnish sauna temperature?

Scientific reviews commonly describe traditional Finnish sauna bathing as exposure to approximately 80–100 °C in relatively dry air, usually for short periods separated by cooling or recovery [1–4]. Classic thermophysiological work described repeated exposures of roughly 5–20 minutes and estimated a heat load of approximately 300–600 W/m² of skin surface under the studied conditions [1].

These values describe a bathing tradition and the conditions used in much of the research. They are not evidence that 100 °C is an optimum biological temperature, nor that temperatures above it create progressively larger health benefits.

Why air temperature is only one part of heat transfer

The body exchanges heat with its surroundings through radiation, convection, evaporation and, where surfaces are touched, conduction. In a sauna, all four mechanisms can matter, although their relative importance differs.

Hot air transfers heat by convection when the surrounding air is hotter than the skin. Hot walls, ceiling, heater and other surfaces exchange infrared radiation with the body. Evaporation of sweat removes heat. Contact with a hot bench or other surface produces conductive exchange.

Changing air temperature changes only part of this system. Two cabins displaying the same thermometer reading can therefore feel very different and impose different thermal loads.

Radiant heat: the invisible variable

A person does not experience only the temperature of the air. The temperatures of the surfaces surrounding the body determine radiant heat exchange. A well-heated cabin with uniformly warm walls and ceiling can provide a different radiant environment from a cabin in which the air has been heated rapidly while structural surfaces remain cooler.

Distance and orientation relative to the heater also matter. A bather exposed directly to strong radiant heat from a heater may receive a greater local heat flux than another person at the same air temperature but in a different position.

> **The same air temperature does not guarantee the same radiant environment.**

Why humidity changes the experience

Humidity changes the ability of sweat to evaporate. In dry sauna air, evaporation provides the body's principal effective avenue of heat loss. When water vapour is added during an Aufguss, the vapour-pressure gradient between wet skin and the surrounding air is reduced.

At the same time, humid hot air can transfer heat differently at the skin and condensation may occur locally when sufficiently warm, moisture-rich air encounters a cooler surface. This is why an Aufguss can produce a rapid increase in perceived and actual thermal load without a corresponding increase in air temperature.

A dry 100 °C cabin and a cooler but substantially more humid environment are therefore not thermally interchangeable simply because one has the higher thermometer reading.

Why duration matters

Thermal dose accumulates over time. A short exposure at a high temperature and a longer exposure at a lower temperature can both create substantial heat strain, but they need not produce identical physiological responses.

Classic sauna research generally uses limited exposure periods rather than indefinite heating. Reviews describe Finnish sauna sessions commonly lasting about 5–20 minutes per heat phase [1,2]. The relevant physiological question is therefore always temperature plus time, not temperature alone.

> **Temperature tells you how intense the environment may be. Duration helps determine how much of that environment the body actually experiences.**

What happens as the thermal load increases?

As heat load rises, skin temperature increases, skin blood vessels dilate, heart rate rises and sweating increases. Core temperature progressively increases when heat gain exceeds effective heat loss. Classic sauna measurements report mean skin temperatures around 40–41 °C under Finnish sauna conditions [1].

These responses are useful indicators of thermoregulation, but larger responses are not automatically better responses. A higher heart rate, greater sweat loss or larger rise in core temperature means that the physiological challenge has increased. It does not by itself demonstrate a greater long-term health benefit.

Does a stronger stimulus produce a stronger adaptation?

Biological adaptation generally requires an adequate stimulus followed by recovery. Too little stimulus may produce little adaptation; beyond an appropriate range, however, simply increasing stress does not guarantee additional benefit.

This principle is familiar from exercise. A training session must be sufficiently demanding to stimulate adaptation, but maximal exertion at every session is not required for health or performance. Passive heat should be approached with the same conceptual discipline.

The useful target is therefore a tolerable and repeatable thermal stimulus from which the individual can recover—not maximal heat exposure.

What do health studies actually tell us about temperature?

Prospective Finnish studies have linked frequent sauna bathing with lower rates of several cardiovascular and other outcomes. Reviews of this literature generally describe Finnish sauna conditions around 80–100 °C [2–4].

However, these studies do not establish a dose-response law in which each additional degree of sauna temperature produces an additional health benefit. Frequency, duration and habitual sauna use are often measured more clearly than the exact heat flux reaching each participant.

The epidemiological evidence therefore supports interest in regular sauna bathing under customary conditions. It does not support a competition for the highest cabin temperature.

Lower-temperature heat can also produce physiological effects

The broader passive-heating literature provides an important counterexample to the idea that only very high sauna temperatures are effective. Infrared and Waon-type protocols often use air temperatures around 60 °C, while hot-water immersion uses much lower environmental temperatures but transfers heat efficiently because water has very different thermal properties from air.

These interventions can still increase body temperature and produce cardiovascular responses. Their existence demonstrates that the thermometer value cannot be used as a universal scale of biological effectiveness.

A recent systematic review of randomized passive-heating interventions included sauna, hot-water bathing, hot yoga and local heating and found heterogeneous results across modalities [5]. The relevant stimulus is heat transferred to the body, not the prestige of a high air-temperature number.

What about 100 °C versus 80 °C?

If all other conditions were genuinely identical, raising air temperature would increase the potential convective heat transfer toward the skin. But in a real sauna, all other conditions are rarely identical. Surface temperatures, humidity, ventilation, air movement, bench position and exposure duration can differ.

An 80 °C sauna with strong radiant heat and an Aufguss can therefore impose a substantial thermal load. A 100 °C cabin with very dry air, cooler surfaces and a short exposure can produce a different experience.

This is why statements such as “a proper sauna must be at least 100 °C” are cultural preferences, not physiological laws.

Does hotter mean more sweating?

A stronger heat load generally stimulates thermoregulatory sweating, but sweat volume is not a measure of health benefit. As discussed in Is More Sweating Better?, cooling depends on the amount of sweat that actually evaporates.

Very strong heating can increase sweat production and fluid loss while the ability to evaporate sweat is constrained by humidity or skin wettedness. More sweat can therefore accompany greater dehydration without a proportional increase in cooling.

Does hotter mean more cardiovascular benefit?

Heat exposure causes substantial cardiovascular responses. For example, a study using a 25-minute sauna at 93 °C and 13% relative humidity found progressive increases in heart rate and blood pressure during heating, followed by lower blood pressure during recovery [6]. Another study in people with stable coronary artery disease used two 10-minute exposures at about 81 °C and found acute vascular changes after sauna [7].

These studies demonstrate cardiovascular effects at different thermal protocols. They do not show that the hotter protocol is superior. Comparing physiological responses across studies is not the same as a randomized comparison of temperatures with long-term clinical outcomes.

Individual tolerance matters

The same cabin does not create the same internal strain in every guest. Age, body size, acclimatisation, cardiovascular fitness, hydration, medication, illness, recent exercise and previous heat exposure all influence the response.

A temperature that feels moderate to an experienced, heat-acclimated bather may be excessive for another person. Health-oriented practice therefore cannot define quality by the ability to tolerate the hottest cabin.

Bench height changes the dose

Temperature in a sauna is vertically stratified. The upper benches are hotter than the lower levels because heated air rises and because the radiant environment and proximity to hot surfaces can differ.

Moving one bench higher can therefore change the thermal exposure without changing the heater setting or the thermometer. This gives the bather a simple method of self-regulation: change position before changing the entire cabin.

Aufguss changes the dose without changing the set temperature

An Aufguss is perhaps the clearest demonstration that temperature alone is inadequate. Water evaporated on the stones increases the water-vapour content of the air. Redistribution of that hot humid air by fanning changes heat and mass transfer at the skin.

The thermometer may show little immediate change, yet the guest can experience a pronounced increase in thermal sensation and heat transfer. In professional practice, water quantity, cabin volume, vapour distribution and fanning therefore belong to thermal-dose management.

What makes a sauna 'good'?

A good sauna provides controllable and reasonably uniform thermal conditions, appropriate ventilation, safe surfaces and equipment, sufficient space and bench geometry, and the possibility for bathers to regulate their own exposure. The quality of the subsequent cooling and recovery phases also matters.

None of these characteristics can be replaced by an impressive temperature reading. A poorly designed 110 °C cabin does not become a high-quality sauna because it is hot.

When does 'hotter' become the wrong objective?

Hotter becomes the wrong objective when temperature is increased for its own sake, when guests feel pressured to tolerate a particular number, or when duration and humidity are ignored. It is also the wrong objective when recovery becomes incomplete or symptoms of excessive heat strain appear.

Dizziness, nausea, unusual weakness, confusion, chest discomfort, severe breathlessness or near-fainting are not signs of a more effective sauna. They are reasons to end the heat exposure.

So, are very hot saunas better?

Very hot saunas can be part of legitimate sauna culture, and traditional Finnish sauna commonly operates at high air temperatures. But 'hotter' and 'better' describe different things.

Higher temperature can increase one component of thermal intensity. Sauna quality and physiological effectiveness depend on the complete thermal environment, the duration of exposure, the sequence of heating and recovery, and the individual bather's response.

> **A good sauna is not the hottest sauna. It is a sauna in which the thermal dose can be controlled.**

Conclusion

Air temperature is important, but it is not a quality score. Traditional Finnish sauna research commonly involves temperatures of approximately 80–100 °C, low humidity and limited heat exposures separated by recovery. These conditions produce substantial thermoregulatory and cardiovascular responses.

The evidence does not demonstrate that exceeding those temperatures creates progressively greater health benefits. Radiation, convection, water vapour, evaporation, air movement, exposure time and individual physiology together determine the actual thermal dose.

For health-oriented sauna bathing, the rational objective is therefore neither the highest thermometer reading nor the greatest possible discomfort. It is a defined thermal stimulus that the individual can tolerate, terminate voluntarily, cool from and recover from completely.

References

1. Leppäluoto J. Human thermoregulation in sauna. Annals of Clinical Research. 1988;20(4):240–243. PMID: 3218894.

2. Hannuksela ML, Ellahham S. Benefits and risks of sauna bathing. American Journal of Medicine. 2001;110(2):118–126. doi:10.1016/S0002-9343(00)00671-9.

3. Laukkanen JA, Laukkanen T, Kunutsor SK. Cardiovascular and other health benefits of sauna bathing: a review of the evidence. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 2018;93(8):1111–1121. doi:10.1016/j.mayocp.2018.04.008. PMID: 30077204.

4. Heinonen I, Laukkanen JA. Effects of heat and cold on health, with special reference to Finnish sauna bathing. American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology. 2018;314(5):R629–R638. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00115.2017. PMID: 29351426.

5. Hamaya R, Joyama Y, Miyata T, et al. Non-acute effects of passive heating interventions on cardiometabolic risk and vascular health: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. American Journal of Preventive Cardiology. 2025;23:101082. doi:10.1016/j.ajpc.2025.101082. PMID: 41049507.

6. Ketelhut S, Ketelhut RG. The blood pressure and heart rate during sauna bath correspond to cardiac responses during submaximal dynamic exercise. Complementary Therapies in Medicine. 2019;44:218–222. PMID: 31126559.

7. Brunt VE, et al. Acute vascular benefits of Finnish sauna bathing in patients with stable coronary artery disease. Canadian Journal of Cardiology. 2020. PMID: 32615263.

8. Gröning K. Health-Orientated Sauna Bathing. International Sauna Academy, 2026. Sections on heat transfer, thermal dose, sauna climate, Aufguss, cooling and recovery.

Editorial note: This article is intended for scientific and educational information. Heat tolerance varies substantially between individuals. Symptoms of excessive thermal or cardiovascular strain should never be interpreted as a desirable measure of sauna intensity.